

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/566,186	01/26/2006	Markus Erfort	740116-871	2100	
25570 ROBERTS MI	7590 05/13/201 OTKOWSKI SAFRAI	EXAMINER			
Intellectual Property Department			CERULLO, LILIANA P		
P.O. Box 1006 MCLEAN, VA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, , , , ,			2629		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/13/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lgallaugher@rmsclaw.com dbeltran@rmsclaw.com rescobar@rmsclaw.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/566,186	ERFORT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LILIANA CERULLO	2629	

	LILIANA CERULLO	2629							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 06 May 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	THE REPLY FILED 06 May 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 7 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no expert, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding encount of the fee. The propriate extension can be corresponding extension and the section of the corresponding extension and the section of the corresponding extension and the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL									
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	iance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two month	of the date of						
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>									
<ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>									
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li> </ul>			ne issues for						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•							
7. \( \subseteq \text{ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) }\( \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of						
Claim(s) rejected: 14-28.									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
The artifaction of the revidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
0. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).									
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.									
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:									
/Amr Awad/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629	/L. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2629								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitations added to independent claim 14 raise new issues that were not examined during prosecution and would require further examination or search. With respect to the Applicant's arguments filed 5/06/2010, pg. 6, regarding a 35. U.S.C. 112 rejection of claims 26-27, please note that the Final Action did not include a 112 rejection of these claims, merely an objection pointing out that claims 26-27 did not further limit parent claim 14.